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I do not believe that the Commission needs to declare Mitra perlata Röding, 1798, as a nomen oblitum. In reality, it is a nomen nudum, since there is no description or figure reference. Cernohorsky argues that Röding gave this name to "Voluta pertusa Gmelin var.", and that since Röding had given the name imperialis to variety gamma, this meant (by "the process of elimination") that Röding's name perlata should apply to the only other variety, beta. On the same page, Röding applied another nomen nudum (capucina) to "Gmel. Voluta pertusa. sp. 93, varietas", so that there is doubt as to Röding's intentions.

Röding sometimes applied two names to the same species (or figure reference), and sometimes gave the same name to entirely different species (or figure references). To accept or acknowledge a Röding name "by the process of elimination" when it has no description or figure reference would open the door to hundreds of other names which have been, to date, considered as nomina nuda.

It should be pointed out that Knorr's vol. 2, pl. 4, fig. 6, leaves much to be desired, although it may be a smooth elongate form of chrysostoma Broderip, 1836, ustulata Reeve, 1844, or (according to Dautzenberg, 1935, Mem. Mus. roy. Hist. nat. Belg. 2 (17): 63) contracta Swainson, 1821. Should some future worker find a valid name to Knorr's figure, I doubt if it could be more than a nomen dubium or species inquirenda.

